UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

LUCAS CRAIG LAMAY,

Civil No. 12-2153 (MJD/JJG)

Plaintiff,

٧.

TOM ROY, Commissioner,
KENT GRANDLIENARD, Warden,
MIKE GREEN, AW,
TAMMY WHORELY, Program Director,
COREY BALLANTYNE, Food Director,
RACHEL CULLIVER, Guard,
VICTORIA PARLIN, Guard,
ANTHONY QUINN, Guard,
NICK WITTER, Sgt.,
WICK VIDAL, Guard, and
MIKE COSTELLO, Lt.,

Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This case is before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge on Plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ("IFP"), as permitted by 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (Docket No. 2.) The matter has been referred to this Court for report and recommendation under 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 72.1. For the reasons discussed below, it is recommended that Plaintiff's IFP application be denied, and that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

Plaintiff, a Minnesota state prison inmate, commenced this action by filing a complaint seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Docket No. 1.) He did not pay the

\$350.00 filing fee prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a), but instead submitted the application for IFP status that is now before the Court.

Because Plaintiff is a prisoner, his IFP application is subject to the requirements of the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995, ("PLRA"). This means, inter alia, that Plaintiff is required to pay an initial partial filing fee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). In this case, Plaintiff's initial partial filing fee, under the formula set forth at § 1915(b)(1), is \$7.70.

By order dated September 4, 2012, (Docket No. 3), Plaintiff was instructed to pay his initial partial filing fee of \$7.70 within twenty days. The Court's order expressly advised Plaintiff that if he failed to pay the prescribed amount within the time allowed, he would be deemed to have abandoned this action, and it would be recommended that his case be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b), for failure to prosecute.

The deadline for paying the initial partial filing fee in this case has now passed, and Plaintiff has not tendered any fee payment, nor has he offered any excuse for his failure to do so. Indeed, Plaintiff has not communicated with the Court at all since he filed this action. Therefore, in accordance with the Court's prior order in this case, it is now recommended that Plaintiff be deemed to have abandoned this action, and that the action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). See In re Smith, 114 F.3d 1247, 1251 (D.C.Cir. 1997) (failure to pay initial partial filing fee required by § 1915(b)(1) "may result in dismissal of a prisoner's action"); Amick v. Ashlock, No. 04-1171 (8th Cir. 2004), 2004 WL 2603590

(unpublished opinion) (prisoner action can properly be dismissed where prisoner

fails to pay initial partial filing fee as ordered); Henderson v. Renaissance Grand

Hotel, 267 Fed.Appx. 496, 497 (8th Cir. 2008) (unpublished opinion) ("[a] district court

has discretion to dismiss an action under Rule 41(b) for a plaintiff's failure to

prosecute, or to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any court

order"); Link v. Wabash Railroad Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (recognizing that

a federal court has the inherent authority to "manage [its] own affairs so as to

achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases").

Based upon the above, and upon all the records and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

1. Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis, (Docket No. 2), be

DENIED; and

2. This action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

Dated: October 23, 2012

s/ Jeanne J. Graham

JEANNE J. GRAHAM

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE

Pursuant to District of Minnesota Local Rule 72.2(b), any party may object to this Report and Recommendation by filing and serving specific, written objections by **November 7, 2012**. A party may respond to the objections within fourteen (14) days after service thereof. Any objections or responses shall not exceed 3,500 words. The District Judge will make a de novo determination of those portions of the Report

and Recommendation to which objection is made.

3